


Mr. Hodges does not request a writ of habeas corpus under § 2254, but instead complains about his prison conditions. (Doc. No. 344 at 3.) This is not Mr. Hodges’ first attempt to file a conditions of confinement lawsuit. His previous attempt was rejected by the Sixth Circuit, which gave him a roadmap on how to proceed to bring a conditions lawsuit. Hodges v. Bell, 170 Fed. Appx 389, 395 (6th Cir. 2013). See also Muhammed v. Close, 540 U.S. 749, 750 (2004) (“Challenges to the validity of any confinement or to particulars affecting its duration are the province of habeas corpus; requests for relief turning on circumstances of confinement may be presented in a § 1983 action.”)

Accordingly, the Motion is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



WAVERLY D. CRENSHAW, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE